DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 406 622 CG 027 605

AUTHOR Ivey, David C.; And Others

TITLE The Roles of Gender and Training in Perceptions of

Infidelity.

PUB DATE 11 Aug 96

NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Psychological Association (104th, Toronto, Ontario, Canada,

August 9-13, 1996).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Counselor Attitudes; Counselor Client Relationship;

*Counselor Training; Marital Instability; *Marriage Counseling; *Role Perception; *Sex Bias; Sex Role

IDENTIFIERS *Couple Therapy; *Infidelity; Training Effectiveness

ABSTRACT

Despite the increasing incidence of infidelity, little empirical evidence is available to quide the efforts of marriage and family therapists in this area. This study examines how gender, participant training, and follow-up mitigating information relate to perceptions of individuals in couple relationships presenting for therapy with a history of infidelity. Participants included 126 non-therapists, 113 affiliates of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT), and 118 AAMFT clinical members who rated 2 written case histories: (1) a heterosexual couple presenting for therapy with concerns pertaining to the male partner's involvement in a 12-month affair, and (2) a couple where the female partner was involved in an affair. Follow-up scenarios describing the same couple subsequent to five marital therapy sessions were also rated. Results suggest that the views of individuals within these relationships are associated with each of the key variables examined in this study. The findings indicate that divergent and potentially inequitable standards are involved in the perception of male and female partner adjustment. Advanced training and experience appeared to be associated with an ability to recognize and report adjustment difficulties. Specific effects of training appear to interact with client gender in shaping impressions. (Author/RJM)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.



The Roles of Gender and Training in Perceptions of Infidelity

David C. Ivey

Wanda M. Clark

Stanley Koutstaal

Marriage and Family Therapy Program

Department of Human Development and Family Studies

Texas Tech University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

V. Ivey

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Presented at the 104th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, August, 1996 (8/11 at 10:00AM).

Running Head: FIDELITY

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



Abstract

This study examined how gender, participant training, and follow-up mitigating information related to perceptions of individuals in couple relationships presenting for therapy with a history of infidelity. Participants included 126 non-therapists, 113 affiliates of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT), and 118 AAMFT clinical members who rated two written case histories. One history described a heterosexual couple presenting for therapy with concerns pertaining to the male partner's involvement in a 12 month affair. The second history described the female partner as involved in an affair. Follow-up scenarios describing the same couple subsequent to five marital therapy sessions were also rated. Results suggest that views of individuals within couple relationships characterized by infidelity are associated with each of the key variables examined in this study. The findings suggest that divergent and potentially inequitable standards are involved in the perception of male and female partner adjustment. Whereas advanced training and experience appears to be associated with an ability to more clearly recognize and report adjustment difficulties, the specific effects of training appear to interact with client gender in shaping impressions.



The Roles of Gender and Training in Perceptions of Infidelity

Despite growing recognition of infidelity as a common difficulty in couple relationships (Brown, 1991; Mongeau, Hale, & Alles, 1994; Paul & Galloway, 1994), little empirical evidence is available to guide the efforts of marriage and family therapists in this area (Green & Bobele, 1988). The literature is inadequate to aid therapists who face the demands of attempting to assist couples whose problems involve extra-marital relationships. Of perhaps even greater concern, very little is known regarding the influence of therapists' personal attitudes and values regarding infidelity. Numerous potentially relevant factors have yet to be examined. Attention to the perceptual processes of therapists associated with these issues is warranted.

The purpose of this investigation is to extend the literature regarding the role of client gender and therapist training in perceptions of the members of couple relationships. We examined the role of gender by comparing ratings of two written case histories: one identified the male partner as involved in an extra-marital affair and the second identified the female. The role of training was evaluated by comparing the perceptions of individuals trained in marriage and family therapy with those of individuals with no clinical background. We hypothesized that views would vary in relationship to the gender of the unfaithful partner and in relationship to the level of participant training. We hypothesized as well that differences associated with these variables would persist despite the introduction of mitigating case information. Our expectation was that trained marriage and family therapists would be less vulnerable to differential views of the individuals described in the case histories on the basis of client gender in comparison to the views of non-therapists.



Method

Participants

Participants in this research were 357 adults. One third (126) of the sample, the non-therapist group, was obtained through undergraduate courses in the department of human development and family studies at a large Southwestern university. The second third (113) of the sample, the affiliate group, was comprised of affiliates of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT). The remaining third (118) of the sample, the clinical member group, was comprised of clinical members of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT). Members of the affiliate and clinical groups were selected by means of random sampling from the 1994 national listing of AAMFT clinical members.

Procedure

Participants were randomly assigned to one of two case history conditions. Half of the participants read a male infidelity condition and half read a female infidelity condition. One history described a hetero-sexual couple presenting for marital therapy. Their presenting concerns pertained to the male partner's 12 month involvement in an extra-marital affair with his employment supervisor. In the second case history, the female member of the couple was identified as the partner involved in the extra-marital affair. With the exception of the gender of the unfaithful partner, all case history information was identical between the two conditions. Subsequent to completing ratings of the initial case histories, participants read two additional scenarios describing the same couple following five marital therapy sessions. With the exception of the gender of unfaithful partner, consistent with the initial descriptions, the two histories in the



second set were identical to one another. In the second set of scenarios, information was provided that suggested that the unfaithful partner was involved in the affair, in part, due to coercion exerted by his/her opposite-sexed employment supervisor.

Data Analysis

The data for participant perceptions of individual partner functioning was analyzed using a 2 X 2 X 3 (gender of infidelity in case histories X follow-up scenarios X participant training), mixed model, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for the dependent measure (IRF). Participant age was the covariate. Gender of infidelity, was a between subjects variable involving two levels (unfaithful male partner and unfaithful female partner). In the first condition, a male partner was described in the case history as participating in an extramarital affair. In the second, a female partner was described as participating in an affair.

A second analysis was conducted for participant perceptions of individual partner functioning in order to examine views of the spouses (faithful) of individuals who were involved in an affair. The data was analyzed using a 2 X 2 X 3 (gender of faithful partner in case histories X follow-up scenarios X participant training), mixed model, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for the dependent measure (IRF). Scores from the IRF reflect participant perceptions of the emotional health or overall adjustment of the individuals described in the case histories.

Results

Perceptions of Unfaithful Partners

A mixed model analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with age as the covariate, on ratings of individual partner functioning revealed a significant two-way interaction between participant level



of training and gender of infidelity conditions F(2, 699)=12.66,p<.001, and a significant two-way interaction between participant level of training and follow-up scenario conditions F(2,699)=5.14, p<.01. Post hoc comparisons, using the Bonferroni adjustment to maintain a .05 familywise alpha (per comparison alpha <.016), were performed between the two gender of infidelity conditions to determine the source of the gender of infidelity by training interaction. The comparisons revealed that the unfaithful male partner was viewed as significantly more healthy than the unfaithful female partner by the members of the undergraduate group F(1, 248)=14.98, p<.001. It was also found that the affiliate group perceived the unfaithful female partner to be significantly more healthy F(1, 217)=5.74, p<.01 than the unfaithful male. No differences in views between the male and female unfaithful partners were found in perceptions by the clinical group. Post hoc comparisons (per comparison alpha <.008) between the three levels of participant training and experience revealed that the members of the affiliate group held significantly more favorable views of the unfaithful female partner F(1, 233)=9.82,p<.008 than the undergraduates.

Perceptions of Faithful Partners

A mixed model analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with age as the covariate, on ratings of individual partner functioning revealed a significant two-way interaction between participant level of training and gender of the faithful partner conditions F(2, 700)=13.38,p<.001 and a significant main effect for the follow-up scenario conditions F(1, 700)=118.60, p<.001. The participants' perceptions of the follow-up (subsequent to 5 marital therapy sessions) scenario were found to be significantly more favorable than their views of the initial scenario. Post hoc comparisons (per comparison alpha <.016) between the two gender of the faithful partner conditions revealed that



the faithful female partner was viewed as significantly more healthy than the faithful male partner by the undergraduate F(1, 248)=30.17, p<.001 and clinical member F(1, 233)=8.06, p<.01 groups. No differences in views between the male and female faithful partners were found in perceptions by the affiliate group.

Post hoc comparisons (per comparison alpha < .008) between the three levels of participant training and experience revealed that in perceptions of the faithful male partner, the affiliate group members F(1,227)=8.94, p<.008 and undergraduates F(1,245)=9.36, p<.008 held significantly more favorable views than the clinical members. No differences were found in views of the faithful male partner between the affiliates and undergraduates. In perceptions of the faithful female partner, the comparisons revealed that the undergraduates held significantly more favorable views than the clinical members F(1,241)=25.33, p<.001 and affiliates F(1,243)=23.69, p<.001. No differences were found in views of the faithful female partner between the clinical members and affiliates.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that the genders of an unfaithful partner and his/her spouse, participant training, and follow-up case information (scenarios) significantly affect perceptions of individuals in couple relationships. Views appear to be the product of the combined influence of these effects.

Gender of Unfaithful Partners

As hypothesized, we found that non-therapists (undergraduates) viewed the unfaithful male partner to be significantly more healthy than the unfaithful female. Differences persisted despite



the introduction of mitigating case information. This finding suggests that gender is an important variable in the perception of the individual members of relationships. Divergent and inequitable standards may be involved in the evaluation of male and female partner adjustment and in the meaning ascribed to extramarital affairs. Although participation in extramarital sexual activity appears to be construed indicative of personal adjustment difficulty for women, similar assumptions do not appear to occur, at least to the same extent, in the evaluation of male partner adjustment. Male infidelity appears to be more acceptable or may not as much be perceived as a reflection of individual unhealthiness.

Contrary to our expectations, we found that the affiliates perceived the unfaithful female partner to be significantly more healthy in comparison to the male. Differences persisted following the introduction of mitigating case information. This finding suggests that gender influences the perceptions of therapists in training. In contrast to non-therapists, therapists in training may construe extramarital sexual activity by female partners to reflect greater personal healthiness, or less dysfunction, in contrast to the significance of male partner infidelity. Such differences may occur due to an increased sensitivity to gender issues in couple relationships and a motivation to avoid female-disadvantaging views. Alternatively, therapists in training may view unfaithful male partners to be less healthy than unfaithful females due to a tendency to over-estimate the significance of male infidelity.

Consistent with our expectations, no differences were found in perceptions by the AAMFT clinical members between the two gender of infidelity conditions. The absence of differences suggests that the effects of gender may be reduced by advanced training and experience in marital



and family therapy. Advanced marriage and family therapists may not be prone to differential views based on the gender of an unfaithful partner. In contrast, the absence of differences may have occurred due to a mitigating effect from infidelity as a presenting concern. The presence of an affair may uniformly be construed indicative of serious individual dysfunction by advanced practitioners.

Gender of Faithful Partners

As hypothesized, we found that women whose male partners were involved in extramarital sexual activity were perceived by non-therapists (undergraduates) to be significantly more healthy in contrast to the views of men whose partners were unfaithful. This finding suggests that males may be perceived to be more seriously affected by, or have effect on, partner infidelity. Female infidelity may be assumed to more likely reflect male partner inadequacy or personal pathology. Non-therapists may believe that women are motivated, more so than men, to engage in extramarital sexual activity in relationship to the healthiness or functionality of their male partners. Whereas males may be excessively blamed for partner infidelity, the behavior of females may be viewed as excessively dependent on the functioning of their partners.

No differences were found in perceptions by the AAMFT affiliates between the two gender of the faithful partner conditions. The absence of differences suggests that the effects of gender may be reduced by preliminary training in marital and family therapy.

Contrary to our expectations, we found that the clinical members perceived the faithful female partner to be significantly more healthy in comparison to the faithful male. This finding suggests that gender influences the perceptions of advanced therapists. Advanced practitioners may believe



that female extramarital sexual activity reflects greater personal dysfunction by male partners.

Seasoned therapists may be vulnerable to sexual double standards.

Training and Experience in Marriage and Family Therapy

Comparisons involving perceptions of the unfaithful female revealed that the affiliates held more favorable views than the undergraduates. This finding suggests that perceptions of unfaithful women may become more favorable in conjunction with initial training in marital and family therapy. Non-therapists may over-estimate the pathological significance of female infidelity.

Alternatively, this finding may indicate that beginning therapists under-estimate the significance of infidelity in perceptions of female partner adjustment.

No differences were found in perceptions of the faithful female between the clinical members and affiliates nor between the clinical members and undergraduates. No differences between the three levels of training were found in ratings of the unfaithful male. These findings suggest that perceptions of unfaithful male partners do not substantially vary in relationship to training and experience in marital and family therapy. Views likely vary in association with other factors. Non-therapists and therapists may employ common standards or assumptions regarding the (un)healthiness of men involved in extramarital sexual relationships.

As hypothesized, we found that the affiliates and non-therapists held more favorable views than the clinical members in ratings of the male whose spouse was unfaithful. This finding suggests that as a result of advanced experience, marriage and family therapists may possess a refined ability to recognize and report problems in male adjustment occurring in association with a history of partner infidelity. As therapists accrue experience beyond the initial training period their ability to



assess individual adjustment in relationships may become increasingly proficient. Non-therapists and therapists in training may be less well equipped to evaluate the functioning of men in couple relationships. The absence of differences between the affiliates and non-therapists may have occurred due to the insufficiency of initial training in marital and family therapy to enable clinicians to recognize the adjustment difficulties of men in relationships with unfaithful partners. Alternatively, these findings may suggest that experienced marriage and family therapists are vulnerable to errantly critical views. As a result of advanced experience, marriage and family therapists may be prone to over-estimate the presence of adjustment difficulties in the assessment of faithful men in couple relationships. This possibility is consistent with the results of other investigations that indicate that experienced clinicians exhibit an increased rate of false positive diagnoses.

Also consistent with our expectations, we found in ratings of the faithful female partner that the non-therapists held more favorable perceptions than the affiliates and clinical members. This finding suggests, consistent with the results of the comparisons involving the faithful male, that as clinicians accrue experience, they may develop a refined ability to recognize and report the adjustment difficulties of women occurring in relationship to partner infidelity. In contrast to the comparisons of the faithful male, these findings suggest as well that the ability to recognize female partner difficulties may develop during the initial training period. Advanced experience may not be necessary to evaluate the detrimental consequences of partner infidelity on female adjustment. Alternatively, this finding may suggest that therapists in training and experienced practitioners may be vulnerable to over-estimate the significance of partner infidelity in perceptions of female



adjustment.

General Conclusions

Perceptions of individuals described in couple relationships are complex and appear to relate to several factors. Although influences of overriding importance may exist, views appear to be associated with multiple variables. Specifically, gender is a critical factor. Sex-role stereotypes appear to promote differential and potentially inequitable views. Involvement in an extra-marital affair by a woman tends to be construed as indicative of more serious difficulty in contrast to relationships with a history of male infidelity. In addition, these findings indicate that training and experience may be influential. Although the facets of their effects are not clearly evident, training and experience appear to result in more critical views. It is not certain whether this tendency reflects a pathologizing bias or a refined ability to recognize and report adjustment difficulties. It is also evident that training and experience do not nullify the role of gender.

References

Brown, E. (1991) Patterns of Infidelity and Their Treatment. New York: Brunner/Mazel.

- Green, S., & Bobele, M. (1988) An interactional approach to marital infidelity: Including the "other woman" in therapy. <u>Journal of Strategic and Systemic Therapies</u>. 7(4), 35-47.
- Mongeau, P., Hale, J., & Alles, M (1994) An experimental investigation of accounts and attributions following sexual infidelity. Communication Monographs. 61(4), 326-344.
- Paul, L., & Galloway, J. (1994) Sexual jealousy: Gender differences in response to partner and rival. <u>Aggressive Behavior</u>. 20(3), 203-211.



Table 1 Means and Standard Deviations for Ratings of Unfaithful Partner Functioning by Case History, Scenario, and Participant Level of Training and Experience

	Pre-The	capy Scenario 5th Session Scenario			nario	
Case History	Y1	Y2	Y3	<u>Y1</u>	Y2	<u>Y3</u>
Male Partner						
M	3.74	3.72	3.70	4.84	4.11	4.10
SD	1.49	1.09	1.22	1.72	1.18	1.35
Female Partner						
M	2.90	4.00	3.63	4.08	4.66	4.07
SD	1.44	1.29	0.96	1.48	1.38	1.13

Note. Y1 = Undergraduates. Y2 = Affiliates of AAMFT. Y3 = AAMFT Clinical Members.



Table 2

ANCOVA Summary Table for Ratings of the Unfaithful Partner

Source of Variance	SS	df	MS	F	F Prob.
Covariate (*)	7.75	1	7.75	4.40	.036
Case History (A)	2.75	1	2.75	1.56	.212
Training and Experience (B)	14.15	2	7.08	4.02	.018
Scenario (C)	83.59	1	83.59	47.48	<.001
АхВ	44.57	2	22.28	12.66	<.001
A x C	.71	1	.71	.40	.525
ВхС	18.11	2	9.05	5.14	.006
АхВхС	.48	2	.24	.14	.873
Within Cell	1209.59	687	1.76		
Total	1380.35	699	1.97		

Note. the covariate was participant age.



Table 3

Means and Standard Deviations for Ratings of Faithful Partner Functioning by Case History, Scenario, and Participant Level of Training and Experience

	Pre-The	rapy Sc	enario	5th Session Scenario			
Case History	<u>Y</u> 1	Y2	<u>үз</u>	<u> Y1</u>	Y2	<u> Y3</u>	
Male Partner							
M	3.51	3.77	3.02	4.77	5.02	4.15	
SD	1.20	1.40	0.95	1.23	1.35	1.25	
Female Partner							
M	4.65	3.71	3.62	5.89	4.70	4.55	
SD	1.88	1.34	1.43	1.59	1.22	1.46	

Note. Y1 = Undergraduates. Y2 = Affiliates of AAMFT. Y3 = AAMFT
Clinical Members.



Table 4

ANCOVA Summary Table for Ratings of the Faithful Partner

Source of Variance	SS	df	MS	F	F Prob.
Covariate (*)	6.19	1	6.19	3.27	.071
Case History (A)	37.26	1	37.26	19.67	<.001
Training and Experience (B)	16.42	2	8.21	4.33	.013
Scenario (C)	224.63	1	224.63	118.60	<.001
АхВ	50.69	2	25.34	13.38	<.001
A x C	1.11	1	1.11	.59	.444
ВхС	1.53	2	.77	.40	.667
АхВхС	.42	2	.21	.11	.895
Within Cell	1303.11	688	1.89		
Total	1725.64	700	2.47		

Note. the covariate was participant age.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:						
Title: The Roles o	of Genler Aud TRAINS	Ng IN Penceybous e	of lubilly			
Author(s): David C.	IVEY, WANDAM. CLARK, S	tanley Koutstaal				
Corporate Source:	IPA Annual Convention	W	Publication Date: $8/1/96$			
II. REPRODUCTIO	N RELEASE:					
In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system. Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document. If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at						
the bottom of the page.	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below w affixed to all Level 2 document				
1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE A DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAP COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED B	ER 1			
Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURING CENTER (ERIC	Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media			
	Level 1	Level 2				

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate

this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign

Signature:

Printed Name/Position/Title:

DANID C. TVEY, Ph. D. Assl. Packessur

Telephone:

FAX:

Telephone:

FAX:

Telephone:



COUNSELING and STUDENT SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

School of Education
101 Park Building
University
of
North Carolina
at Greensboro
Greensboro, NC
27412-5001

Toll-free: (800)414-9769 Phone: (910) 334-4114 Fax: (910) 334-4116 INTERNET: ERICCASS@IRIS.UNCG.EDU

Garry R. Walz, Ph.D., NCC Director Jeanne Bleuer, Ph.D., NCC Associate Director

Improving
Decision Making
Through
Increased Access
to Information



November 11, 1996

Dear 1996 APA Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the American Psychological Association's 104th Annual Convention in Toronto August 9-13, 1996. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on letterhead:

- (1) Two (2) laser print copies of the paper.
- (2) A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and
- (3) A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,

Jillian Barr Joncas

Acquisitions and Outreach Coordinator

